l-2-Nitro-1,3-alkanediols by Stereoselective Addition of Nitroethanol to Aldehydes.¹ On the Asymmetric Electrophilic Addition to Double Bonds

Martin Eyer² and Dieter Seebach*

Contribution from the Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, ETH-Zentrum, Universitätstrasse 16, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland. Received November 26, 1984

Abstract: Tetrahydropyranyl-protected nitroethanol and other vicinal nitro alcohols can be doubly deprotonated to lithium α -lithionitronates (cf. 2,27). These are rather stable toward β -elimination of the pyranyloxy group. They combine in good yields with various electrophiles to give higher nitroalcohols, hydroxynitro ketones, and nitrodiols (4-24, 28) after deprotection with an acidic ion-exchange resin in methanol (Scheme II, Tables I and II). Of the nitrodiols 9-18 and 28 obtained with aldehydes and a ketone in the presence of the cosolvent HMPT or DMPU, one diastereomer is formed preferentially (75 to >95%) and can be enriched in most cases by crystallization; additional centers of chirality in the reactands may (see 18, 28) or may not (see 19-24) exert asymmetric induction on the process. The configuration of two of the nitrodiols (13, 14) was established by chemical correlation to be like. The observed configuration results by diastereoselective nitronate protonation (relative topicity unlike, Schemes III and VI). The effects operating in such electrophilic additions to donor double bonds with 1,2-asymmetric induction are discussed more generally (Scheme IV), using the two-membered-ring or τ -model for the double bond (Scheme V).

A. Introduction

Besides appropriate reaction conditions, such as very low temperature³ and the use of stabilizing solvents, there are certain structural features by which β -elimination⁴ from carbanionic systems with leaving groups may be prevented. These are demonstrated⁵ by the reagents A-F, Scheme I, taken from the most recent literature, with emphasis on our own work. The leaving group may be forced in a position coplanar with the donor π system (A),⁶ it may be a dianion derivative such as $LiO^{-}(B)$,⁷ or it may be in an ideal position for chelation of a metal at the donor center (C);⁸ furthermore, the carbanionic character may be reduced by the nature of the metal (D)⁹ or by effective charge delocalization (E).¹⁰ Finally, the elimination may not occur because a highly strained double bond would be formed (F).¹¹

We describe here the remarkable case of a doubly lithiated nucleophilic reagent with a β -heterosubstituent; the lithium lithionitronate 2 generated from tetrahydropyranyl (THP) protected¹² nitroethanol is as stable as the previously described^{10,13}

(6) Seebach, D.; Aebi, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2545. Mulzer, J.; Kerkmann, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3620. Mulzer, J. Nachr. Chem., Tech. Lab 1981, 29, 614. These cases could be called trajectoryforbidden eliminations, or forbidden 4- or 5-endo-trigonal retrocycloadditions: Baldwin, J. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 734.

(8) Yu, L.-C.; Helquist, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 3423.
(9) Weidmann, B.; Widler, L.; Olivero, A. G.; Maycock, C. D.; Seebach,

 (10) Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Lehr, F.; Weller, T.; Colvin, E. W. Angew.
 (10) Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Lehr, F.; Weller, T.; Colvin, E. W. Angew.
 Chem. 1981, 93, 422; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 397. Seebach,
 D.; Beck, A. K.; Mukhopadhyay, T.; Thomas, E. Helv. Chim. Acta 1982, 65, 1101

nitroalkane derivatives 1 without the potential leaving group! The



stability of 2 does not rest upon the presence of the additional oxygen atom in the THP ring; the cyclohexyl ether of nitroethanol can be converted to an analogous reagent of comparable stability.¹² This is why we draw the formula of 2 with a chelation of the lithium atom on the nitronate group by the THP-O atom.⁵ The stability and high nucleophilicity of the reagent 2 make nitro alcohols of type 3 readily available, and the diastereoselective preparation of 2-nitro-1,3-diols, and thus of 2-amino-1,3-diols from nitroethanol and aldehydes, becomes feasible. The products from 2 are also of interest in view of the recent studies on enzyme inhibition by nitro compounds.14

B. Results

The dilithio derivative 2 is generated by addition of a slight excess over 2 equiv of butyllithium to THP-protected nitroethanol¹⁵ in tetrahydrofuran (THF)/hexamethylphosphoric acid triamide (HMPT) or dimethylpropyleneurea (DMPU)¹⁶ at -90 °C.³ The resulting yellow solution is slowly warmed¹⁷ to -40 °C, cooled again, and combined with electrophiles such as alkyl halide, esters, ketones, and aldehydes. After quenching with acetic acid at low temperature (ca. -90 °C), and aqueous workup, the THP-protected nitro alcohol 4a, β -hydroxy- α -nitrocarbonyl compounds 5a-7a, and nitrodiols 8a-18a were isolated in yields ranging from 44% to 90%; see the formulae in Scheme II and the numbers in

(17) Even after the solutions were warmed to -30 °C for short periods of time, the yields of subsequent reactions were hardly reduced.

⁽¹⁾ Seebach, D. "Abstracts of Papers", National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, St. Louis, MO, 1984; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1984. (2) Eyer, M. Ph.D. Thesis, ETH Zürich, 1985.

⁽³⁾ Review on the techniques of carrying out reactions at temperatures below -80 °C: Seebach, D.; Hidber, A. Chimia 1983, 37, 449.

⁽⁴⁾ Many of the same features are also responsible for stabilizing systems which are capable of α elimination; see the NMR investigations of lithium halocarbenoids: Seebach, D.; Hässig, R.; Gabriel, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1983, 66, 308.

⁽⁵⁾ Often, more than one of the effects are responsible for the observed stability

⁽⁷⁾ Najera, C.; Yus, M.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 289.

⁽¹¹⁾ Corey, E. J.; Ulrich, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 16, 3685.

⁽¹²⁾ Doubly lithiated derivatives of type 2 were also generated with methoxymethyl (MOM), ethoxyethyl (EE), methoxyisopropyl (MIP), 4methoxy-4-tetrahydropyranyl, and cyclohexyl instead of the THP group on oxygen. The yields/diastereoselectivities of addition of these reagents to benzaldehyde were 74/56%, 82/79%, 77/80%, 74/88%, and 88/80%, respectively.

⁽¹³⁾ Seebach, D.; Lehr, F. Angew. Chem. 1976, 88, 540; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 505. Henning, R.; Lehr, F.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1976, 59, 2213.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Alston, T. A.; Porter, D. J. T.; Bright, H. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16.418

⁽¹⁵⁾ Kraus, G. A.; Frazier, K. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4820.
(16) With DMPU as a cosolvent [Mukhopadhyay, T.; Scebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1982, 65, 385], the yields are comparable: 14a is formed in 75% yield in the presence of 17% HMPT, in 69% yield with 25% DMPU, and in Compared to the second sec 75% without cosolvent. The ratios of diastereomeric nitrodiols 14b in the three experiments are >95:5, 89:11, and 54:46, respectively. The yields given in the present paper were all obtained with HMPT.



the second column of Table I. As is evident from their NMR spectra, all products **4a-17a** consist of mainly two diastereomers. Removal of the THP protecting group, and thus of one of the asymmetric carbon atoms from these primary products, was achieved by treatment in methanol with Amberlyst (acidic ion exchange resin). Under these conditions, no retro-nitroaldol reactions and/or dehydrations to nitroolefins occurred, and most¹⁸ hydroxy derivatives **4b-17b** were isolated in essentially quantitative yields; see the fourth column in Table I. The samples of nitrodiols **9b-16b** thus obtained—formally products of a double nitroaldol addition of nitromethane to formaldehyde and another aldehyde—were all highly enriched in one diastereoisomer; see the sixth column of Table I. Since the nitrodiols crystallized eventually, pure samples of single diastereomers could easily be prepared.

For assignment of configuration to these products, a chemical correlation was possible in two cases, one aliphatic (13b), the other one aromatic (14b). The acetylenic nitrodiol 13b was identical with a previously prepared¹⁹ sample of l configuration²⁰ (three after the Fischer convention); the compound was isolated as the

Table I. Yields of Flash-Chromatographed Products 4a-18a and of the Corresponding Deprotected Nitro Alcohols 4b-18b from Reagent 2 and Different Electrophiles

		free nitro alcohols b				
THP-protect-			% yield (purified)			
ed prod a			from a overall			
prod	% yield	prod	(hydrolysis)	from 2	% ds ^a	mp, °C
4	44	4	92	41		
5	74	5	86	64		
6	85	6	83	70		
7	68	7	90	61		
8	73	8	>99	73		96-97
9	72	9	95	68	93	86-87
10	56	10	95	53	85	
11	64	11	>99	64	>95	90-91
12	80	12	92	74	91	94-95
13	57	13	95	54	>88	73-74
14	75	14	>99	75	>95	90-91
15	81	15	98	79	80	
16	90	16	98	88	75	86-87
17	54	17	96	52	73	90-91
18	64	18	>99	64	73	61-62

^aThe fraction of the major diastereoisomer (% ds) in the mixture of nitro alcohols was determined by ¹³C NMR analysis before separation. For details, such as solvents for recrystallization, spectroscopic identification and elemental analysis of the pure nitro alcohols, see Experimental Section.

Table II.	Products	19a-24a	and 19	b-24b	from	the	Reactions	of
THP-Prot	tected Nit	ropropane	ol with	Differ	ent El	lectr	ophiles ^a	

	THP-protect- ed prod a		free nitro alcohols b			
				overall	ratio of	
electrophile	prod	% yield	prod	% yield	diastereomer	
benzyl bromide	19	62	19	61		
dimethyl carbonate	20	75	20	69		
2-methylpropanal	21	62	21	60	49:49:<1:<1	
cyclohexanecarb- aldehyde	22	58	22	57	49:49:<1:<1	
benzaldehyde	23	66	23	66	49:49:<1:<1	
cyclohexanone	24	55	24	53		

^aIn all cases, the crude mixture of diastereomeric THP-protected products was flash-chromatographed. Subsequent deprotection gives the nitro alcohols.

undesired epimer in a nonstereoselective synthesis of sphingosine, a component of gangliosides.¹⁹ The configuration of the diol **14b** derived from benzaldehyde had been previously assigned²¹ to be also *l*; it is an intermediate of an industrial synthesis of the antibiotic chloroamphenicol. Due to very similar NMR spectra, the diols (**15b**, **16b**) obtained with substituted benzaldehydes must have the same configuration as **14b**. In analogy, we assume that the other adducts (**9b–12b**) to aliphatic aldehydes also belong to the *l* series; see Discussion section. We do not dare to assign a configuration to the major product **17b** formed with acetophenone²² (Table I).

To see whether there is a 1,2-asymmetric induction in the addition of the reagent 2 to α -branched aldehydes, we combined it with (S)-2-methylbutanal to find that of the four possible diastereoisomeric nitrodiols **18b**, only two were formed (64% yield), the major one (73% ds)²³ of which crystallized (Table I). If the reaction follows Cram's rule (open chain model²⁴), and if we assume the *l* configuration of the two newly formed centers, the

⁽¹⁸⁾ In the case of the nitro ketones and esters 5a-7a, it is important that the removal of the THP group is carried out at room temperature. At higher temperature (ca. 45 °C), decomposition may take place (7a), or the α -nitro- β -methoxy ketones are formed in addition to or instead of the desired β -hydroxy ketones (as in the cases of 5a and 6a).

⁽¹⁹⁾ Grob, C. A.; Gadient, F. Helv. Chim. Acta 1957, 40, 1145. For a recent paper on sphingosine: Wydila, J.; Thornton, E. R. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 244 and references cited therein.

⁽²⁰⁾ Seebach, D.; Prelog, V. Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 696; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 654.

⁽²¹⁾ Bochringer AG Patent (Mannheim, Germany). Chem. Abstr. 86, P16404w. We thank the Bochringer AG for supplying us with a generous sample of this compound.

⁽²²⁾ It may be that 17b has also the l configuration, i.e., that the CH₃ group takes the position of the hydrogen of the aldehyde substrates in the proposed mechanism (section C).

⁽²³⁾ For discussions of the definition of % ds (percentage of a certain diastereomer in a mixture of diastereomers) see: Seebach, D.; Naef, R. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1981, 64, 2704. Thaisrivongs, S.; Seebach, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7407.

⁽²⁴⁾ Cram, D. J.; Abd Elhafez, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5828.

Scheme III



main product 18b is the 2R, 3R, 4S stereoisomer.

No asymmetric induction is observed with the reagent generated from THP-protected 1-nitro-2-propanol. The corresponding dilithio derivative gives good yields of alkylated, acylated, and hydroxyalkylated products (19a-24a), but all deprotected nitro alcohols (19b-24b) are ca. 1:1 mixtures of diastereomers (Table II). For the nitrodiols 21b-23b containing three asymmetric carbon atoms, this means that of the four possible diastereomers, only two have been formed. We assume that these have the same relative configuration (l) with respect to the two newly formed centers but are epimeric with respect to the carbinol center already present in the dilithiated nitropropanol derivative.²⁵ Again, in three of the six examples investigated, one of the diastereomers crystallized and could be isolated in analytically pure form (21b, 22b, and 24b). In order to test whether a dilithionitronate of type 2 with an additional center of chirality bearing a heteroatom, capable of chelating with one of the lithium atoms, would add diastereoselectively to an aldehyde, we protected the known²⁶ acetonide 25 of (2S,3R)-1-nitro-2,3,4-butanetriol with a THP group $(\rightarrow 26)$, generated the dilithio derivative 27, and combined it with benzaldehyde. The usual workup and removal of the





protecting group furnished a *single* (13 C NMR) crystalline diastereomer **28** of sharp melting point in 73% yield. We have no proof of the configuration at the two newly formed asymmetric carbon atoms, but—in analogy with the benzaldehyde adduct **14b**—we assume that **28** is either the (1*R*,2*S*) or the (1*S*,2*R*) diastereomer.

Two of the nitrodiols from nitroethanol were reduced to aminodiols (29 from 11b, 30 from 15b) without loss of configurational purity.

C. Discussion

Obviously, the observed diastereoselective synthesis of nitrodiols is the result of selective protonation of the primary adducts to aldehydes in the presence of HMPT or DMPU cosolvent¹⁶ (Scheme III). The alkoxide nitronates **31**, or the corresponding Scheme IV



References. (a) Schmid, G.; Fukuyama, T.; Akasaka, K.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 259. (b) Still, W.C.; Barrish, J.C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2487. (c) Cha, J.K.; Christ, W.J.; Kishi, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3943. (d) Kozikowski, A.P.; Ghosh, A.K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5788. Kozikowski, A.P.; Chen, Y.Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2081. Curran, D.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5826. Jager, V.; Grund, H.; Buss, V.; Schwab, W.; Müller, I.; Schohe, R.; Franz, R.; Ehrler, R. Bull. Soc. Chum. Beig. 1983, 92, 1039. Houk, K.N.; Moses, S.R.; Wu, Y.-D.; Rondan, N.G.; Jager, V.; Schohe, R.; Fronczek, F.R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1984</u>, 108, 3880. (e) Kraus, G.A.; Taschner, M.J. Tetnahedron Lett. <u>1977</u>, 18, 4575. Fräter, G. Helv. Chum. Acta <u>1979</u>, *52*, 2825. Seebach, O.; Wasmuth, O. Helv. Chum. Acta 1980, 63, 197. Wasmuth, D.; Arigoni, O.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta <u>1982</u>, 65, 344. Hoffmann, R.W.; Helbig, W. Chem. Ben. <u>1981</u>, 114, 2802. Kramer, A.; Pfander, H. Helv. Chim. Acta <u>1982</u>, 65, 293. (f) Chamberlin, A.R.; Dezube, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3055. Shieh, H.-M.; Prestwich, G.O. J. Ung. Chem. 1981, 46, 4319. Shieh, H.-M.; Prestwich, G.O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4643. Seebach, D.; Lawson, K.; Jakob, R. unpublished results, ETH Zürich. Mulzer, J. Macki. Chem. Tech. Lab. 1981, 29, 614. (g) Seebach, D.; Wasmuth, O. Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 1007, Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 971. (h) Naef, R.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 1113, 1nt. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 1030. Seebach, O. Aebi, J.O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3311. Ladner, W. Chem. Ber. 1983, 116, 3413. (1) Mahalanabis, K.K.; Mumtaz, M.; Snieckus, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3971 and 3975. (j) Fleming, 1.; Urch, C.J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4591. Bernhard, W.; Fleming, 1.; Waterson, O. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984. 28. (k) limori. T.: Takahashi. Y.: Izawa. T.: Kobayashi. S.: Ohno, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1659. (1) Seebach, O.; Beck, A.K.; Lehr, F.; Weller, T.; Colvin, W. Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 422, Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 397. Seebach, O.; Beck, A.K.; Mukhopadhyay, T.; Thomas, E. Helv. Chum. Acta 1982, 65, 1101. (m) Seebach, O.; Beck, A.K.: Mukhopadhvav, T.: Thomas E. Helv. Chum. Acta 1982, 65, 1101. Ever, M.; Seebach, O this publication

nitronic acid derivatives 32, are protonated with relative topicity²⁰ ul in a 1,2-asymmetric induction—while the asymmetric carbon atom in the 2-position of the tetrahydropran heterocycle does not exhibit a 1,4-induction. This is the same stereochemical course as previously observed¹⁰ with simple alkoxide nitronates, not bearing additional functional groups. Thus, we are dealing with an asymmetric electrophilic addition with 1,2-induction. A number of such additions is documented in the references given in the accompanying Scheme IV. The conformation around the σ bond between the asymmetric carbon atom and the sp² carbon bearing the donor group is chosen arbitrarily for mapping purposes in Scheme IV. As with its counterpart, the nucleophilic addition to acceptor double bonds, different models will apply, depending on the particular structures of substrate and reagent, cf. the open-chain,²⁴ cyclic,²⁷ and dipolar²⁸ models of asymmetric addition to C=O bonds (Cram-Cornforth), their interpretations by Karabatsos²⁹ and Felkin,³⁰ and the more recent theoretical treatment by Anh.^{31,32} The most rigorous calculations of the steric course of nucleophilic, radical, and electrophilic additions to double bonds

(31) Anh, N. T.; Eisenstein, O. Nouv. J. Chim. 1977, 1, 61. Anh, N. T. Top. Curr. Chem. 1980, 88, 145.

(32) For a genreal discussion of Cram's rule, with numerous references, see: Mulzer, J. Nachr. Chem., Tech. Lab. 1984, 32, 16.

⁽²⁵⁾ Thus, the u,l and the l,l diastereoisomers should have been isolated.
(26) Kozikowski, A. P.; Kitagawa, Y.; Springer, J. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1460.

⁽²⁷⁾ Cram, D. J.; Wilson, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1245. Recently, it has become fashionable to refer to this type of induction as to "chelation control".

⁽²⁸⁾ Cornforth, J. W.; Cornforth, R. H.; Mathews, K. K. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 112.

⁽²⁹⁾ Karabatsos, G. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1367.

⁽³⁰⁾ Cherest, M.; Felkin, H.; Prudent, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2199, 2205.

Scheme V

rei, topicity 24



with adjacent asymmetric centers have been published by Houk and his collaborators.³³ While they find some generally applicable effects, they also confirm that there is no single model for any one of these three types of reactions. From the examples of electrophilic additions to donor double bonds listed in Scheme IV, it appears that—as a rule—polar substituents on the asymmetric carbon atom are more often than not in the position designated X. For the reaction described here, however, the oxygen atom of the former aldehyde carbonyl group has to be put in the position designated \mathbb{R}^2 , in order to denote the observed steric course of reaction.

Without further information about the structure of the species-especially intriguing is the role of the necessary cosolvent-we find it difficult to propose a definite mechanism for the selective protonation found. First, some general remarks about the expected effects seem to be appropriate. In Scheme V the six possible staggered conformations G-M are depicted, using the two-membered-ring or τ -model^{34,35} for the donor double bond. For the sake of discussion and with the priority sequences given in Scheme V, the formulae G, H, and I describe a lk- (cf. Scheme IV) and the formulae K, L, and M a ul-1,2-induction (cf. Scheme III). Since one of the larger groups X or \mathbb{R}^2 , and not the hydrogen, will be preferentially in an antiperiplanar position with respect to the attacking reagent,³³ I and M are probably the least favorable modes for steric reasons. Steric hindrance may also favor H and K over G and L, to a degree which will depend on the size of the D group. The group X may exert an attractive interaction with the D group, favoring the lk-approach G, for instance, through a chelation of a metal by D and X. Finally,

(35) A. Eschenmoser and his collaborators have used the r-bond model for rationalizing the stereochemical course of allylic reactions; see: Vogel, E. Dissertation, ETH No. 6123, 1978. Kümin, A. Dissertation, ETH No. 6509, 1979. Denmark, S. E. Dissertation ETH No. 6665, 1982. Franck, P. Dissertation ETH No. 7465, 1984. Scheme VI



X may trap the electrophile by covalent binding, by forming a hydrogen bond, or by metal complexation, favoring the approach K with relative topicity ul. On the other hand, the following stereoelectronic effect³⁶ should be operative: (i) An electronwithdrawing substituent X (σ acceptor) on the asymmetric carbon atom will decrease the donor reactivity most strongly if antiperiplanar to the two-ring bond which is being attacked by the electrophile, such as in H and L. This makes the approach G the most favorable one for stereoelectronic reasons, as predicted by Eschenmoser³⁵ and as recently confirmed experimentally and computationally by Houk et al.³³ for the addition of nitril oxides to allylic ethers. (ii) By the same token, a strongly e-donating group X (cf. a metal) will on the contrary increase the reactivity toward an electrophile best if antiperiplanar with respect to the τ bond attacked, such as in H and L.

The opposite steric courses of the kinetically controlled¹⁰ protonations of silyloxynitronates and of alkoxidonitronates might thus be rationalized by the mechanisms N (cf. G) and O (cf. K), respectively (Scheme VI).

D. Experimental Section

General Remarks. Flash chromatography was performed according to the method described by Still et al.³⁷ Nitroethanol³⁸ and nitropropanol³⁹ were synthesized according to the literature method. Nitro alcohol **25** has been described previously.²⁶ The THP protection of the OH group was performed by following a literature procedure.¹⁵

General Procedure. To a cooled (-90 °C) stirred solution of 50 mL of THF, 10 mL of HMPA—or 45 mL of THF and 15 ml of DMPU and 10 mmol THP-protected nitro alcohol was added 15.2 mL (22 mmol) of *n*-butyllithium (1.45 M in hexane). The resulting yellow mixture was allowed to warm to -40 °C during 3 h, and at -90 °C the electrophile (10 mmol) was slowly added. After the reaction mixture had warmed to -60 °C within 90 min (aldehydes, ketones) or to -40 °C within 2 h (esters, alkylhalides), the mixture was cooled again to -90 °C and quenched with 3 mL (~50 mmol) of acetic acid. The clear cold reaction solution was combined with 100 mL of ether and washed successively with two 25-mL portions of cold saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. Each

(39) Sprang, C. A.; Degering, E. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1942, 64, 1063. Hurd, C. D.; Nielson, M. E. J. Org. Chem. 1955, 20, 927.

⁽³³⁾ Caramella, P.; Rondan, N. G.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 3438. Paddon-Row, M. N.; Rondan, N. G.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 7162. Houk, K. N.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Rondan, N. G. J. Mol. Struct. **1983**, 103, 197. Houk, K. N.; Moses, S. R.; Wu, Y.-D.; Rondan, N. G.; Jäger, V.; Schohe, R.; Fronczek, F. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 3880.

⁽³⁴⁾ For the use of this model of describing double bonds see: Baeyer, A. Chem. Ber. 1885, 18, 2269. Pauling, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1931, 53, 1367. Slater, S. C. Phys. Rev. 1931, 37, 481. Coulson, C. A.; Moffitt, W. E. Philos. Mag. 1949, 40, 1. Hall, G. G.; Lennard-Jones, J. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 1951, 205, 357. Pauling, L. "Kkkulé and the Chemical Bond"; Butterworths: London, 1958; IUPAC Symp. Theoret. Cher., p 1. Pauling, L. "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY 1960; p 136. Brown, K. L.; Damm, L.; Dunitz, J. D.; Eschenmoser, A.; Hobi, R.; Kratky, C. Helv. Chim. Acta 1978, 61, 3108. Dale, J. "Stereochemistry and Conformational Analysis"; Veralg Chemie: Weinheim, New York, 1987. Bauer, W.; Laube, T.: Seebach, D. Chem. Ber. 1984, 117, 1.

⁽³⁶⁾ For general discussions of stereoelectronic effects, see the books: Szarek, W. A.; Horton, D. "Anomeric Effect, Origin and Consequences"; American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1979; ACS Symp. Ser. No. 87. Kirby, A. J. "The Anomeric Effect and Related Stereoelectronic Effects at Oxygen"; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983. Deslongchamps, P. "Stereoelectronic Effects in Organic Chemistry", Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1983.

⁽³⁷⁾ Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.
(38) Noland, W. E. Org. Synth. 1961, 41, 67.

aqueous phase was extracted with one 100-mL portion of ether. The combined organic phases were washed 4 times with water and once with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography of the resulting residue on silica gel with ether/hexane afforded the pure nitro compound. The deprotonation of the THP group was carried out with 20 mL of MeOH and 0.3 g of Amberlyst at 45 °C for 2 h, except in the case of nitro ketones and nitro esters which were deprotected at room temperature.

Distillation or recrystallization provided analytically pure samples. **2-Nitro-1-octanol (4b).** From **2** and 1-iodohexane; purification by distillation 100 °C/0.01 torr: IR (film) 3400, 1550 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.86–0.90 (m, 3 H, CH₃), 1.22–1.40 (m, 8 H, 4 CH₂), 1.68–1.79 (m, 1 H, CH–CHNO₂), 1.90–2.00 (m, 1 H, CH–CH–NO₂), 2.22 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.89 (dd, J = 3.1, 12.2 Hz, 1 H, CH–OH), 4.00 (dxd, J = 8.2, 12.2 Hz, 1 H, CH–OH), 4.59 (dddd, J = 3.1, 5.6, 8.2,1.3.8, Hz, 1 H, CH–NO₂); MS, m/e 175 (M⁺, <1), 144 (4), 69 (100), 55 (88). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₇NO₃: C, 54.84; H, 9.78; N, 7.99. Found: C, 55.12; H, 9.76; N, 7.95.

Ethyl 3-Hydroxy-2-nitropropanoate (7b). From 2 and diethyl carbonate; purification by distillation 85 °C/0.06 torr: IR (film) 3540, 1745, 1565 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 2.73 (br t, 1 H, OH), 4.28-4.34 (m, 4 H, COOCH₂CH₃, CH₂OH), 5.29 (dd, J = 4.0, 6.3 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); MS, m/e 163 (M⁺, <1), 88 (22), 71 (86), 29 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₅H₉NO₅: C, 36.81; H, 5.56; N, 8.59. Found: C, 36.92; H, 5.68; N, 8.36.

1-(2-Hydroxy-1-nitroethyl)-1-cyclohexanol (8b). From 2 and cyclohexanone; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane: IR (KBr) 3370, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 1.17–1.80 (m, 10 H, C₆H₁₀), 3.70 (br s, 2/3 H, OH), 3.81 (br s, 2/3 H, OH), 4.04 (dd, J = 3.0, 11.5 Hz, 1 H; CH₂OH), 4.18 (br s, 2/3 H, OH), 4.24 (dd, J = 100, 11.5 Hz, 1 H, CH₂OH), 4.64 (dd, J = 3.0, 10.0 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); MS, m/e 189 (M⁺, <1), 99 (100), 81 (42), 55 (66), 43 (25). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₅NO₄: C, 50.78; H, 7.99; N, 7.40. Found: C, 51.04; H, 8.13; N, 7.49.

4-Methyl-2-nitro-1,3-pentanediol (9b). From **2** and 2-methylpropanal; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane: IR (KBr) 3370, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 0.93 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.72–1.82 (m, 1 H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.70 (s, 1/2 H, OH), 3.82–4.07 (m, 3 H, CHOH, CH₂OH), 4.21–4.27 (m, 3/2 H, OH), 4.70 (ddd, J = 3.9, 7.9, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 14.99, 19.43, 30.17, 60.98, 73.88, 93.40; MS, m/e 163 (M⁺ <1), 120 (9), 73 (49), 57 (47), 43 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₆H₁₃NO₄: C, 44.17; H, 8.03; N, 8.58. Found: C, 44.06; H, 7.83; N, 8.49.

1-Cyclohexyl-2-nitro-1,3-propanediol (11b). From 2 and cyclohexanecarbaldehyde; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane: IR (KBr) 3270, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) § 1.13–1.47 (m, 6 H, C₆H₁₁), 1.61–1.79 (m, 5 H, C₆H₁₁), 3.70 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 3.80–3.86 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 3.93 (ddd, J = 3.8, 4.9, 12.0 Hz, 1 H, CH₂OH), 4.04 (ddd, J = 6.5, 8.9, 12.0 Hz, 1 H, CH₂OH), 4.18 (d, J = 6.9, 2/3 H, OH), 4.23 (dd, J = 4.9, 6.5 Hz, 2/3 H, OH), 4.76 (ddd, J = 3.8, 7.7, 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) § 25.98, 26.33, 30.02, 40.33, 61.11, 73.67, 92.91; MS, m/e 203 (M⁺, <1), 112 (6), 95 (30), 83 (98), 55 (100), 41 (49). Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₇NO₄: C, 53.19; H, 8.43; N, 6.89. Found: C, 53.34; H, 8.55; N, 7.06.

(*E*)-2-Nitro-5-phenyl-4-pentene-1,3-diol (12b). From 2 and *trans*cinnamaldehyde; purification by recrystallization from ethyl acetate/ pentane: IR (KBr) 3360, 1555, 975 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) & 3.75 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.90–4.13 (m, 2 H, CH₂OH, OH), 4.27–4.34 (m, 1 H, CH₂OH), 4.68–4.84 (m, 2 H, CHOH, CHNO₂), 6.32 (dd, J = 6.7, 15.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 6.78 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 7.23–7.47 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) 61.00, 71.31, 94.50, 126.82, 127.16, 128.19, 128.78, 133.31; MS, m/e 223 (M⁺, <1), 132 (100), 104 (58), 77 (44), 51 (31). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₃NO₄: C, 59.19; H, 5.87; N, 6.27. Found: C, 59.28; H, 5.74; N, 6.40.

2-Nitro-4-octadecyne-1,3-diol (13b). From **2** and 2-pentadecyn-1-al; purification by recrystallization from ether/pentane: IR (KBr) 3420, 3350, 2240, 1562 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.86–0.90 (m, 3 H, CH₃), 1.22–1.37 (m, 20 H, 10 CH₂), 1.46–1.53 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.12 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, OH), 2.22 (dt, *J* = 2.0, 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂C=C), 2.52 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.17–4.22 (m, 2 H, CH₂OH), 4.67 (ddd, *J* = 4.2, 6.3, 7.5 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.90–4.95 (m, 1 H, CHNO₂); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 13.76, 18.35, 22.65, 28.27, 28.51, 28.83, 29.19, 29.39, 29.59, 29.72, 30.21, 31.19, 31.97, 60.88, 61.22, 76.94, 88.18, 94.47; MS, *m/e* 327 (M⁺, <1), 137 (18), 110 (37), 95 (46), 70 (97), 43 (100).

2-Nitro-1-phenyl-1,3-propanediol (14b). From 2 and benzaldehyde; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane: IR (KBr) 3370, 3020, 1555 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) 3.46 (ddd, J = 3.2, 7.9, 12.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_2 OH), 3.70 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 3.90 (ddd, J = 6.5, 9.2, 12.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_2 OH), 4.19-4.23 (m, 2/3 H, OH), 4.84 (ddd, J = 3.2, 9.2, 9.2 Hz, 1 H, CH-NO₂), 5.08 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, CH-Ph), 5.03-5.11 (m,

2/3 H, OH), 7.31–7.48 (m, 5 H, C₆ H_5); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 61.15, 72.70, 95.74, 127.12, 128.76, 128.82, 140.32; MS, m/e 197 (M⁺, <1), 106 (99), 77 (100), 51 (40), 45 (32).

1-(4-Cyanophenyl)-2-nitro-1,3-propanediol (16b). From 2 and 4-cyanobenzladehyde; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane: IR (KBr) 3360, 2245, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) § 3.59 (ddd, J = 3.3, 7.4, 12.1 Hz, 1 H, CH₂OH), 3.72 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 3.94 (ddd, J = 5.8, 8.7, 12.1 Hz, 1 H, CH₂OH), 4.32 (br t, J = 5.2 Hz, 2/3 H, OH), 4.87 (ddd, J = 3.3, 8.7, 8.7 Hz, 1 H, CHPNO₂), 5.28 (dd, J = 4.4, 8.7 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 5.38 (br d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2/3 H, OH), 7.72 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, C₆H₄), 7.81 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, C₆H₄); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 60.38, 71.18, 94.68, 127.70, 129.35, 132.10, 132.75, 144.85; MS, m/e 222 (M⁺, <1), 130 (100), 102 (57), 76 (23), 45 (34). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀N₂O₄: C, 54.05; H, 4.54; N, 12.61. Found: C, 54.21; H, 4.47; N, 12.39.

1-Methyl-2-nitro-1-phenyl-1,3-propanediol (17b). From 2 and acetophenone In this case the two diastereoisomers A and B could be separated; both of them were recrystallized from ether/pentane. A: IR (KBr) 3530, 3400, 1565 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 1.63 (s, 3 H, CH_3), 3.59 (ddd, J = 2.8, 6.9, 12.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_2OH), 3.72 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 4.10-4.14 (m, 2/3 H, OH), 4.24 (ddd, J = 6.4, 10.0, 12.0 Hz, 1 H, CH_2OH) 4.74 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 4.96 (dd, J = 2.8, 10.0 Hz, 1 H, $CHNO_2$), 7.27-7.42 (m, 3 H, C_6H_5), 7.55-7.59 (m, 2 H, C_6H_5); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) § 27.33, 60.08, 73.63, 98.48, 125.18, 127.58, 128.47, 144.19; MS, m/e 211 (M⁺, <1), 121 (69), 105 (100), 77 (72), 43 (56). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₃NO₄: C, 56.87; H, 6.20; N, 6.63. Found: C, 56.92; H, 6.22; N, 6.50. B: ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) § 1.69 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.71 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 3.90-3.95 (m, 1 H, CH₂OH), 4.15-4.23 (m, 5/3 H, OH, CH_2OH), 4.70 (s, 2/3 H, OH), 5.01 (dd, J = 3.2, 9.9 Hz, 1 H, $CHNO_{2}$), 7.25–7.38 (m, 3 H, C₆H₅), 7.53–7.57 (m, 2 H, C₆H₅); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 25.25, 60.55, 73.93, 98.20, 125.63, 127.73, 128.28, 144.69. Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{13}NO_4$: C, 56.87; H, 6.20; N, 6.63. Found: C, 56.74; H, 6.24; N, 6.74.

(4S)-4-Methyl-2-nitro-1,3-hexanediol (18b). From 2 and (S)-2-methylbutanal; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane: IR (KBr) 3350, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) 0.92 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 0.92 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CHCH₃), 1.25-1.41 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 1.45-1.58 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 3.71 (br s, 2/3 H, OH), 3.85-3.97 (m, 2 H, CH₂OH), 4.02-4.07 (m, 1 H, CHOH), 4.22-4.27 (br m, 4/3 H, OH), 4.72 (ddd, J = 4.3, 8.5, 8.5 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) 11.21, 11.99, 26.64, 36.80, 60.87, 71.81, 93.99; MS, *m/e* 177 (M⁺, <1), 74 (17), 57 (100), 45 (23). Anal. Calcd for C₇H₁₅NO₄: C, 47.45; H, 8.53; N, 7.90. Found: C, 47.38; H, 8.57; N, 7.94.

5-Methyl-3-nitro-2,4-hexanediol (21b). From the dilithio derivative of THP-protected nitropropanol and 2-methylpropanal; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane (*one* diastereoisomer only crystallized): mp 82.0 °C; IR (KBr) 3390, 3310, 1550 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 0.98 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.08 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.08 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.08 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H, CH, (CH₃)₂), 2.83 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1 H, OH), 2.98 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H, OH), 3.71 (ddd, J = 2.9, 9.3, 9.3 Hz, 1 H, CHCH(CH₃)₂), 4.46–4.52 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 4.56 (dd, J = 2.9, 6.6 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) à 16.09, 18.33, 19.32, 30.06, 65.17, 73.86, 94.79; MS, m/e 178 (M⁺ + 1, <1), 90 (45), 73 (36), 43 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₇H₁₅NO₄: C, 47.45; H, 8.53; N, 7.90. Found: C, 47.54; H, 8.41; N, 7.77.

1-Cyclohexyl-2-nitro-1,3-butanediol (22b). From the dilithio derivative of THP-protected nitropropanol and cyclohexanecarbaldehyde; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane (*one* diastereoisomer only crystallized): mp 99.0-100.0 °C; IR (KBr) 3560, 3420, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.98-1.44 (m, 6 H, C₆H₁₁), 1.32 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.63-1.81 (m, 5 H, C₆H₁₁), 2.69 (br d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, OH), 2.83 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.77 (br m, 1 H, CH-C₆H₁₁), 4.50-4.57 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 4.55 (dd, J = 2.9, 6.5 Hz, 1 H, CHNO₂); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 19.63, 26.02, 26.17, 29.58, 40.79, 69.06, 76.80, 91.80; MS, m/e 217 (M⁺, <1), 112 (5), 95 (43), 83 (92), 71 (37), 55 (100), 43 (41). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₉NO₄: C, 55.28; H, 8.81; N, 6.45. Found: C, 55.14; H, 8.84; N, 6.56.

1-(2-Hydroxy-1-nitropropyl)-1-cyclohexanol (24b). From the dilithio derivative of THP-protected nitropropanol and cyclohexanone; purification by recrystallization from ether/hexane (*one* diastereoisomer only crystallized): mp 75.0-76.0 °C; IR (KBr) 3380, 3340, 1550 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.17-1.90 (m, 10 H, C₆H₁₀), 1.33 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 2.75 (d, J = 4.3, 1 H, OH), 2.97 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.40 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, CH–NO₂), 4.50–4.59 (m, 1 H, CHOH); ¹³C NMR (actone-d₆) δ 20.55, 21.11, 21.44, 25.45, 33.36, 34.17, 65.97, 72.27, 100.00; MS, *m/e* 203 (M⁺, <1), 99 (100), 81 (33), 69 (26), 55 (54). Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₇NO₄: C, 53.19; H, 8.43; N, 6.89. Found: C, 53.06; H, 8.40; N, 6.76.

2-Amino-1-cyclohexyl-1,3-propanediol (29). Nickel/aluminum alloy (3.35 g) in water (35 mL) was treated with NaOH (5.35 g) in small portions. The mixture was heated to 70 °C for 30 min. After cooling to 20 °C, the aqueous phase was decanted and the Raney nickel was washed with distilled water until completely neutral and then with ethanol (5 times).¹⁰ The freshly prepared Raney nickel, the nitro compound (4 mmol), and the ethanol (45 mL) were shaken in a steel autoclave under 30 atm of H₂ for 20 h at 50 °C. The mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate evaporated to give the crude amino compound 29 which was recrystallized from methanol/ether; yield 0.64 g (3.68 mmol, 92%): mp 118.0 °C; IR (KBr) 3360, 3310, 1585 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (methanol- d_4) δ 1.01–1.54 (m, 6 H, C₆ H_{11}), 1.64–1.80 (m, 4 H, C₆ H_{11}), $1.89-1.95 \text{ (m, 1 H, CH(CH_2)_5)}, 2.85 \text{ (ddd, } J = 4.0, 5.3, 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H},$ $CH-NH_2$), 3.23 (dd, J = 4.0, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 3.47 (dd, J = 6.7,10.7 Hz, 1 H, CH_2OH), 3.58 (dd, J = 5.3, 10.7 Hz, 1 H, CH_2OH); ¹³C NMR (methanol-d₄) 27.17, 27.30, 27.60, 29.56, 30.84, 41.22, 54.31, $65.62, 76.67; MS, m/e 174 (M^+ + 1, <1), 142 (16), 90 (13), 60 (100),$ 43 (22). Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₉NO₂: C, 62.39; H, 11.05; N, 8.08. Found: C, 62.11; H, 10.92; N, 7.83.

(3S,4R)-2-Nitro-1-phenyl-1,3,4,5-pentanetetraol (28). To a cooled (-90 °C), stirred solution of 25 mL of THF, 5 mL of HMPA, and 1.39 g (5 mmol) nitro compound 26 was added 7.6 mL (11 mmol) of n-butyllithium (1.45 M in hexane). The resulting yellow mixture was allowed to warm to -40 °C during 3 h, and at -90 °C, 0.51 mL (5 mmol) of benzaldehyde was slowly added. After the reaction mixture had warmed to -60 °C within 90 min, the mixture was cooled again to -90 °C and quenched with 1.5 mL (\sim 25 mmol) of acetic acid. The clear cold reaction solution was combined with 60 mL of ether and washed successively with two 15-mL portions of cold saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. Each aqueous phase was extracted with one 60-mL portion of ether. The combined organic phases were washed 4 times with water and once with saturated aqueous NaCl, dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography of the resulting residue on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexane (1:4) afforded the pure nitro compound. The deprotection of the THP and acetonide groups was carried out with 10 mL of methanol and 0.15 g of Amberlyst at 45 °C in 2 h. Recrystallization from methanol/ether provided 0.94 g (3.66 mmol, 73%): mp 141.0 °C; IR (KBr) 3525, 3390, 1540 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 2.96 (ddd, J = 3.6, 5.2, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, $CH(OH)CH_2OH$), 3.45 (dd, J = 5.2, 11.4 Hz, 1 H, CH_2OH), 3.57 (dd, J = 3.6, 11.4 Hz, 1 H, CH_2OH), 3.97 (dd, J =3.2, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, $CH(OH)CHNO_2$, 5.12 (dd, J = 3.2, 7.7 Hz, 1 H, $CHNO_2$), 5.48 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, CHPh), 7.25-7.48 (m, 5 H, C_6H_5); ¹³C NMR (methanol- d_4) δ 64.14, 64.64, 72.45, 72.71, 95.53, 128.41,

129.28, 130.10, 141.42; MS, m/e 256 (M⁺ – 1, <1), 105 (69), 77 (100), 61 (19), 51 (94). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₅NO₆: C, 51.36; H, 5.88; N, 5.44. Found: C, 51.30; H, 6.04; N, 5.17.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge many useful discussions with Prof. Albert Eschenmoser on the subject of allylic reactions. We thank for generous financial support by the Sandoz AG (Basel). The following people have helped with special experiments, by carrying out the elemental analyses, and by measuring spectra: K. Bleidissel, B. Brandenberg, L. Golgowski, R. Häner, D. Manser, M. Müller, M. Hürzeler, and Ph. Renaud.

Registry No. (\pm) - (R^*, S^*) -4a, 96039-96-2; (\pm) - (R^*, R^*) -4a, 96040-41-4; (\pm) -4b, 96039-95-1; (\pm) - (R^*,S^*) -5a, 96039-98-4; (\pm) - (R^*,R^*) -5a, 96040-42-5; (\pm) -5b, 96039-97-3; (\pm) - (R^*,S^*) -6a, 96040-00-5; (\pm) - (R^*, R^*) -6a, 96040-43-6; (±)-6b, 96039-99-5; (±)- (R^*, S^*) -7a, 96040-02-7; (\pm) - (R^*, R^*) -7a, 96055-49-1; (\pm) -7b, 96040-01-6; (\pm) - (R^*, S^*) -8a, 96040-04-9; (\pm) - (R^*, R^*) -8a, 96040-44-7; (\pm) -8b, 96040-03-8; (\pm) -9a, 96040-06-1; (±)-9b, 96040-05-0; (±)-10a, 96040-08-3; (±)-10b, 96040-07-2; (±)-11a, 96040-10-7; (±)-11b, 96040-09-4; (±)-12a, 96040-12-9; (\pm) -12b, 96040-11-8; (\pm) -13a, 96040-14-1; (\pm) -13b, 96040-13-0; (\pm) -14a, 96040-15-2; (\pm) -14b, 5285-85-8; (\pm) -15a, 96040-17-4; (\pm) -15b, 96040-16-3; (\pm) -16a, 96040-19-6; (\pm) -16b, 96040-18-5; (\pm) -l-17a, 96040-21-0; (±)-u-17a, 96094-33-6; (±)-l-17b, 96040-20-9; (±)-u-17b, 96040-40-3; (2R,3R,4S)-18a, 96040-23-2; (2R,3R,4S)-18b, 96040-22-1; (\pm) -*l*-19a, 96040-25-4; (\pm) -*u*-19a, 96094-34-7; (\pm) -*l*-19b, 96040-24-3; (±)-u-19b, 96040-37-8; (±)-l-20a, 96094-35-8; (±)-u-20a, 96040-27-6; (±)-1-20b, 96040-38-9; (±)-u-20b, 96040-26-5; (±)-21a (isomer 1), 96040-28-7; (\pm) -21a (isomer 2), 96094-38-1; (\pm) -21b (isomer 1), 96094-32-5; (±)-21b (isomer 2), 96094-37-0; (±)-22a (isomer 1), 96040-30-1; (±)-22a (isomer 2), 96040-46-9; (±)-22b (isomer 1), 96040-29-8; (±)-22b (isomer 2), 96040-45-8; (±)-23a (isomer 1), 96040-32-3; (\pm) -23a (isomer 2), 96094-40-5; (\pm) -23b (isomer 1), 96040-31-2; (±)-23b (isomer 2), 96094-39-2; (±)-1-24a, 96094-36-9; (\pm) -u-24a, 96040-34-5; (\pm) -l-24b, 96040-39-0; (\pm) -u-24b, 96040-33-4; 26, 96040-35-6; 28, 96040-36-7; (±)-29, 60204-66-2; THPO(CH₂)₂NO₂, 75233-61-3; n-C₆H₁₃I, 638-45-9; (EtO)₂CO, 105-58-8; Me₂CHCHO, 78-84-2; trans-C6H5CH=CHCHO, 14371-10-9; C13H27C=CCHO, 51534-40-8; C6H5CHO, 100-52-7; p-NCC6H4CHO, 105-07-7; C6H5C-OCH₃, 98-86-2; (S)-CH₃CH₂CH(CH₃)CHO, 1730-97-8; C₆H₅CH₂Br, 100-39-0; (CH₃O)₂CO, 616-38-6; CH₃CH(OTHP)CH₂NO₂, 69386-03-4; cyclohexanone, 108-94-1; cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde, 2043-61-0.